

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	O. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,479 02/26/2		2004	Nobuhiro Ohkubo		204552031400	3112
D F Ductor	7590 12/19/2006				EXAMINER	
Barry E. Bretschneider Morrison & Foerster LLP		•			VAN ROY, TOD THOMAS	
Suite 300 1650 Tysons B	oulevard				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
McLean, VA 2					2828	
		•				
•					MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•			12/19/2006	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/786,479	OHKUBO ET AL.		
Examiner ~) //	Art Unit		
Tod T. Van Roy	2828		

	Tod T. Van Roy	2828	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 01 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, at otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	ng date of the final rejecti	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CER 1 136(c). The date	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orion r than three months after the mailing d	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of le appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NC		ecause
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	* **	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-9 and 16-22.		•	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	~ · 11	
	MIN PR	ISUN OH HARVEY	
			· ~

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/786,479

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicant has argued that niether Kazumasa or Paoli teach the impurity atoms to have an atomic number less than Phosphorus (P-atomic number 15) and be from group II; the examiner does not agree. Paoli teaches, and motivates, the use of Beryllium (Be-atomic number 4, group II) which inherently has an atomic number less than P. Please see the enclosed periodic table for reference.